Where are we?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Semantic Web Architecture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Resource Description Framework (RDF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Web of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Generating Semantic Annotations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Storage and Querying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Web Ontology Language (OWL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rule Interchange Format (RIF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Reasoning on the Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ontologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Social Semantic Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Semantic Web Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Semantic Web Stack

Adapted from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semantic_Web_Stack
INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Motivating Example 1

- Anna Fensel is teaching the Semantic Web course.

Examples adapted from Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004
Motivating Example 1

• Anna Fensel is teaching the Semantic Web course.

  <course name="Semantic Web">  
  <lecturer>Anna Fensel</lecturer>  
  </course>

  What's the problem you can spot in this representation?

Examples adapted from
Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004
Motivating Example 1

• Anna Fensel is teaching the Semantic Web course.

  <course name="Semantic Web">  
  <lecturer>Anna Fensel</lecturer>
  </course>

  <lecturer name="Anna Fensel">
  <teaches>Semantic Web</teaches>
  </lecturer>

  <teachingOffering>
  <lecturer>Anna Fensel</lecturer>
  <course>Semantic Web</course>
  </teachingOffering>

Examples adapted from
Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004

Motivating Example 2

• A lecturer is a subclass of an academic staff member.

This sentence means that all lecturers are also academic staff members. It is important to understand that there is an intended meaning associated with “is a subclass of”. It is not up to the application to interpret this term; its intended meaning must be respected by all RDF processing software.

Examples adapted from
Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004
Motivating Example 2

• A lecturer is a subclass of an academic staff member.


Examples adapted from
Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004

Motivating Example 2

• Retrieve all the members of the academic staff.

An example XPath query to achieve the second bullet task over presented XML is: //academicStaffMember

Examples adapted from
Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004
Motivating Example 2

- The result is only Anna Fensel.

  Correct from the XML viewpoint, but semantically unsatisfactory. Human readers would have also included Umutcan Simsek.

  This kind of information makes use of the semantic model of the particular domain and cannot be represented in XML or in RDF but is typical of knowledge written in RDF Schema.

  *RDFS makes semantic information machine accessible*

Examples adapted from Grigoris Antoniou and Frank van Harmelen: A Semantic Web Primer, MIT Press 2004

---

What Are RDF and RDF Schema?

- **RDF**
  - Resource Description Framework
  - Data model
    - Syntax (XML)
  - Domain independent
    - Vocabulary is defined by RDF Schema

- **RDF Schema**
  - RDF Vocabulary Description Language
  - Captures the semantic model of a domain
RDF and RDF Schema

TECHNICAL SOLUTION

The power of triple representation joint with XML serialization

THE RESOURCE DESCRIPTION FRAMEWORK

Most of the examples in the upcoming slides are taken from: http://www.w3.org/TR/rdf-primer/
RDF Basics

• RDF is a language that enables to describe making statements on resources
  – John is father of Bill

• Statement (or triple) as a logical formula P(x, y), where the binary predicate P relates the object x to the object y

• Triple data model:
  <subject, predicate, object>
  – Subject: Resource or blank node
  – Predicate: Property
  – Object: Resource (or collection of resources), literal or blank node

• Example:
  <ex:john, ex:father-of, ex:bill>

• RDF offers only binary predicates (properties)

Resources

• A resource may be:
  – Web page (e.g. http://www.w3.org)
  – A person (e.g. http://anna.fensel.com)
  – A book (e.g. urn:isbn:0-345-33971-1)
  – Anything denoted with a URI!

• A URI is an identifier and not a location on the Web

• RDF allows making statements about resources:
  – http://www.w3.org has the format text/html
  – http://anna.fensel.com has first name Anna
  – urn:isbn:0-345-33971-1 has author Tolkien
URI, URN, URL

- A Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) is a string of characters used to identify a name or a resource on the Internet

- A URI can be a URL or a URN
- A Uniform Resource Name (URN) defines an item's identity
  - the URN urn:isbn:0-395-36341-1 is a URI that specifies the identifier system, i.e. International Standard Book Number (ISBN), as well as the unique reference within that system and allows one to talk about a book, but doesn't suggest where and how to obtain an actual copy of it
- A Uniform Resource Locator (URL) provides a method for finding it
  - the URL http://www.sti-innsbruck.at/ identifies a resource (STI's home page) and implies that a representation of that resource (such as the home page's current HTML code, as encoded characters) is obtainable via HTTP from a network host named www.sti-innsbruck.at

Literals

- Plain literals
  - E.g. “any text”
  - Optional language tag, e.g. “Hello, how are you?”@en-GB
- Typed literals
  - E.g. “hello”^^xsd:string, “1”^^xsd:integer
  - Recommended datatypes:
    - XML Schema datatypes
- Only as object of a triple, e.g.:<http://example.org/#john>, <http://example.org/#hasName>, “John Smith”^^xsd:string
Datatypes

- One pre-defined datatype: **rdf:XMLLiteral**
  - Used for embedding XML in RDF

- Recommended datatypes are XML Schema datatypes, e.g.:
  - xsd:string
  - xsd:integer
  - xsd:float
  - xsd:anyURI
  - xsd:boolean

Blank Nodes I

- Blank nodes are nodes without a URI
  - Unnamed resources
  - More complex constructs

- Representation of blank nodes is **syntax-dependent**
  - Blank node identifier

- For example:
  \[
  (
  <#john>, <#hasName>, _:johnsname
  
  (_:johnsname, <#firstName>, “John”ˆˆxsd:string)
  
  (_:johnsname, <#lastName>, “Smith”ˆˆxsd:string)
  \]
Blank Nodes II

• **Representation of complex data**
A blank node can be used to indirectly attach to a resource a consistent set of properties which together represent complex data

• **Anonymous classes in OWL**
The ontology language OWL uses blank nodes to represent anonymous classes such as unions or intersections of classes, or classes called restrictions, defined by a constraint on a property

---

RDF Containers

• **Grouping property values:**

  “The lecture is attended by John, Mary and Chris”  
  Bag

  “[RDF-Concepts] is edited by Graham and Jeremy (in that order)”  
  Seq

  “The source code for the application may be found at ftp1.example.org, ftp2.example.org, ftp3.example.org”  
  Alt
RDF Containers 2

• Three types of containers:
  – rdf:Bag - unordered set of items
  – rdf:Seq - ordered set of items
  – rdf:Alt - set of alternatives

• Every container has a triple declaring the rdf:type

• Items in the container are denoted with
  – rdf:_1, rdf:_2, . . . , rdf:_n

• Limitations:
  – Semantics of the container is up to the application
  – What about closed sets?
    • How do we know whether Graham and Jeremy are the only editors of [RDF-Concepts]?
RDF Triple Graph Representation

• The triple data model can be represented as a graph

• Such graph is called in the Artificial Intelligence community a **semantic net**

• Labeled, directed graphs
  - **Nodes**: resources, literals
  - **Labels**: properties
  - **Edges**: statements

---

RDF: a Direct Connected Graph based Model

• Different interconnected triples lead to a more complex graphic model

• Basically a RDF document is a direct connect graph
"The lecture is attended by John, Mary and Chris"

"[RDF-Concepts] is edited by Graham and Jeremy (in that order)"
“The source code for the application may be found at ftp1.example.org, ftp2.example.org, ftp3.example.org”

RDF provides support for describing groups containing only the specified members, in the form of RDF collections.
Reification I

- Reification: statements about statements

Mary claims that John’s name is “John Smith”.

\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:type}, \text{rdf:Statement}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:subject}, \text{john}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:predicate}, \text{hasName}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:object}, “John Smith”\]

This kind of statement can be used to describe belief or trust in other statements, which is important in some kinds of applications.

Necessary because there are only triples in RDF: we cannot add an identifier directly to a triple (then it would be a quadruple).

Reification II

- Reification: statements about statements

Mary claims that John’s name is “John Smith”.

\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:type}, \text{rdf:Statement}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:subject}, \text{john}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:predicate}, \text{hasName}\]
\[(\text{myStatement}), \text{rdf:object}, “John Smith”\]

\[(\text{john}, \text{hasName}, “John Smith”)\]

In such a way we attached a label to the statement.
Reification III

- Reification: statements about statements

  Mary claims that John’s name is “John Smith”.

  (<#myStatement>, rdf:type, rdf:Statement)
  (<#myStatement>, rdf:subject, <#john>)
  (<#myStatement>, rdf:predicate, <#hasName>)
  (<#myStatement>, rdf:object, "John Smith")
  (<#mary>, <#claims>, <#myStatement>)

RDF uses only binary properties. This restriction seems quite serious because often we use predicates with more than two arguments. Luckily, such predicates can be simulated by a number of binary predicates.

RDF Vocabulary

- RDF defines a number of resources and properties
- We have already seen: rdf:XMLLiteral, rdf:type, ...
- RDF vocabulary is defined in the namespace: http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#

- Classes:
  - rdf:Property, rdf:Statement, rdf:XMLLiteral
  - rdf:Seq, rdf:Bag, rdf:Alt, rdf:List
- Properties:
  - rdf:type, rdf:subject, rdf:predicate, rdf:object,
  - rdf:first, rdf:rest, rdf:_n
  - rdf:value
- Resources:
  - rdf:nil
RDF Vocabulary

• Typing using \texttt{rdf:type}:
  \texttt{<A, rdf:type, B>}
  "A belongs to class B"

• All properties belong to class \texttt{rdf:Property}:
  \texttt{<P, rdf:type, rdf:Property>}
  "P is a property"

  \texttt{<rdf:type, rdf:type, rdf:Property>}
  "\texttt{rdf:type} is a property"

How to represent the semantics of data models

THE RDF SCHEMA (RDFS)
RDF Vocabulary Description Language 1

• Types in RDF:
  
  <#john, rdf:type, #Student>

• What is a “#Student”? 

• RDF is not defining a vocabulary about the statements, but only to express statements

• We know that “#Student” identifies a category (a concept or a class), but this is only implicitly defined in RDF

RDF Vocabulary Description Language 2

• We need a language for defining RDF types:
  
  – Define classes:
    • “#Student is a class”
  – Relationships between classes:
    • “#Student is a sub-class of #Person”
  – Properties of classes:
    • “#Person has a property hasName”

• RDF Schema is such a language
RDF Vocabulary Description Language 3

- Classes:
  - `<#Student, rdf:type, #rdfs:Class>`
- Class hierarchies:
  - `<#Student, rdfs:subClassOf, #Person>`
- Properties:
  - `<#hasName, rdf:type, rdf:Property>`
- Property hierarchies:
  - `<#hasMother, rdfs:subPropertyOf, #hasParent>`
- Associating properties with classes (a):
  - "The property #hasName only applies to #Person"
    - `<#hasName, rdfs:domain, #Person>`
- Associating properties with classes (b):
  - "The type of the property #hasName is #xsd:string"
    - `<#hasName, rdfs:range, xsd:string>`

RDFS Vocabulary

- RDFS Extends the RDF Vocabulary
- RDFS vocabulary is defined in the namespace:
  - [http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#](http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#)

### RDFS Classes
- rdfs:Resource
- rdfs:Class
- rdfs:Literal
- rdfs:Datatype
- rdfs:Container
- rdfs:ContainerMembershipProperty

### RDFS Properties
- rdfs:domain
- rdfs:range
- rdfs:subPropertyOf
- rdfs:subClassOf
- rdfs:member
- rdfs:seeAlso
- rdfs:isDefinedBy
- rdfs:comment
- rdfs:label
RDFS Principles

- **Resource**
  - All resources are implicitly instances of `rdfs:Resource`

- **Class**
  - Describe sets of resources
  - Classes are resources themselves - e.g. Webpages, people, document types
    - Class hierarchy can be defined through `rdfs:subClassOf`
    - Every class is a member of `rdfs:Class`

- **Property**
  - Subset of RDFS Resources that are properties
    - **Domain**: class associated with property: `rdfs:domain`
    - **Range**: type of the property values: `rdfs:range`
    - Property hierarchy defined through: `rdfs:subPropertyOf`

---

RDFS Example

![RDFS Example Diagram]

- `ex:Faculty-Staff`
RDFS Vocabulary Example

RDFS Metadata Properties

- Metadata is “data about data”
- Any meta-data can be attached to a resource, using:
  - `rdfs:comment`
    - Human-readable description of the resource, e.g.
      - `<ex:Person>, rdfs:comment, "A person is any human being"`
  - `rdfs:label`
    - Human-readable version of the resource name, e.g.
      - `<ex:Person>, rdfs:label, "Human being"`
  - `rdfs:seeAlso`
    - Indicate additional information about the resource, e.g.
      - `<ex:Person>, rdfs:seeAlso, <http://xmlns.com/wordnet/1.6/Human>`
  - `rdfs:isDefinedBy`
    - A special kind of rdfs:seeAlso, e.g.
      - `<ex:Person>, rdfs:isDefinedBy, <http://xmlns.com/wordnet/1.6/Human>`
RDF(S) SEMANTICS

- RDF(S) vocabulary has built-in "meaning"
- RDF(S) Semantics
  - Makes meaning explicit
  - Defines what follows from an RDF graph
- Semantic notions
  - Subgraph
  - Instance
  - Entailment
**Subgraph**

- $E$ is a subgraph of $S$ if and only if $E$ predicates are a subset of $S$ predicates
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}>, _:\text{johnsname})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{firstName}>, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{lastName}>, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$

- Subgraphs:
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}>, :\text{johnsname})$
  - $(:_\text{johnsname}, <\text{firstName}>, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(:_\text{johnsname}, <\text{lastName}>, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}>, _:_\text{johnsname})$

**Instance**

- $S'$ is an instance of $S$ if and only if some blank nodes in $S$ are replaced with blank nodes, literals or URIs
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}>, _:\text{johnsname})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{firstName}>, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{lastName}>, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$

- Instances:
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}>, <\#abc})$
  - $(<\#abc>, <\text{firstName}>, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<\#abc>, <\text{lastName}>, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}, _:_X)$
  - $(<_X, <\text{firstName}>, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<_X, <\text{lastName}, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<\#john>, <\text{hasName}, _:_\text{johnsname})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{firstName}, "John"\text{xsd:string})$
  - $(<_\text{johnsname}, <\text{lastName}, "Smith"\text{xsd:string})$

- Every graph is an instance of itself!
Entailment

- **Entailment** or logical implication is a relation between sentences of a formal language

- $S$ entails $E$ if $E$ logically follows from $S$
  - Written: $S \models E$

- A graph entails all its subgraphs
  - If $S'$ is a subgraph of $S$: $S \models S'$

- All instances of a graph $S$ entail $S$
  - If $S''$ is an instance of $S$: $S'' \models S$

RDFS Entailment

```
<http://example.org/#john> rdf:type <http://example.org/#Student>
<http://example.org/#Student> rdfs:subClassOf <http://example.org/#Person>
```

entails

```
<http://example.org/#john> rdf:type <http://example.org/#Person>
```

```
<http://example.org/#hasName> rdfs:domain <http://example.org/#Student>
<http://example.org/#mary> <http://example.org/#hasName> "Mary"
```

entails

```
<http://example.org/#mary> rdf:type <http://example.org/#Student>
```

```
<http://example.org/#john> <http://example.org/#hasMother> <http://example.org/#mary>
<http://example.org/#hasMother> rdfs:subPropertyOf <http://example.org/#hasParent>
```

entails

```
<http://example.org/#john> <http://example.org/#hasParent> <http://example.org/#mary>
```
Entailment Rules

- Semantics defined through *entailment rules*
- Rule:
  - If $S$ contains $\text{<triple pattern>}$ then add $\text{<triple>}$

- Executing all entailment rules yields *realization* of $S$
- $S$ entails $E$ if $E$ is a subgraph of the realization of $S$
- Axiomatic triple are always added

RDF Entailment

- if $E$ contains $\text{<A, B, C>}$ then add $\text{<B, rdf:type, rdf:Property>}$

- if $E$ contains $\text{<A, B, l>}$ ($l$ is a valid XML literal) then add $\text{<_:X, rdf:type, rdf:XMLLiteral>}$

  where $\_:_X$ identifies to blank node allocated to $l$
RDFS Entailment 1

• everything in the subject is a resource
  – if E contains <A, B, C> then add <A, rdf:type, rdfs:Resource>

• every non-literal in the object is a resource
  – if E contains <A, B, C> (C is not a literal) then add <C, rdf:type, rdfs:Resource>

• every class is subclass of rdfs:Resource
  – if E contains <A, rdf:type, rdfs:Class> then add <A, rdfs:subClassOf, rdfs:Resource>

• inheritance:
  – if E contains <A, rdf:type, B>, <B, rdfs:subClassOf, C> then add <A, rdf:type, C>

• rdfs:subClassOf is transitive
  – if E contains <A, rdfs:subClassOf, B>, <B, rdfs:subClassOf, C> then add <A, rdfs:subClassOf, C>

RDFS Entailment 2

• rdfs:subClassOf is reflexive
  – if E contains <A, rdf:type, rdfs:Class> then add <A, rdfs:subClassOf, A>

• rdfs:subPropertyOf is transitive
  – if E contains <A, rdfs:subPropertyOf, B>, <B, rdfs:subPropertyOf, C> then add <A, rdfs:subPropertyOf, C>

• rdfs:subPropertyOf is reflexive
  – if E contains <P, rdf:type, rdf:Property> then add <P, rdfs:subPropertyOf, P>

• domain of properties
  – if E contains <P, rdfs:domain, C>, <A, P, B> then add <A, rdf:type, C>

• range of properties
  – if E contains <P, rdfs:range, C>, <A, P, B> then add <B, rdf:type, C>
RDFS Entailment 3

• every literal is a member of rdfs:Literal
  – if $E$ contains $<A, B, l>$ ($l$ is a plain literal) then add $<_:X, rdf:type, rdfs:Literal>$

• every datatype is subclass of rdfs:Literal
  – if $E$ contains $<A, rdf:type, rdfs:Datatype>$ then add $<A, rdfs:subClassOf, rdfs:Literal>$

RDF(S) SERIALIZATION
RDF Serialization Formats

There are several machine readable serialization formats for RDF

- RDF/XML
- Turtle
- N3

RDF/XML 1

- Serializing RDF for the Web
  - XML as standardized interchange format:
    - Namespaces (e.g. rdf:type, xsd:integer, ex:john)
    - Encoding (e.g. UTF8, iso-8859-1)
    - XML Schema (e.g. datatypes)

- Reuse of existing XML tools:
  - Syntax checking (i.e. schema validation)
  - Transformation (via XSLT)
    - Different RDF representation
    - Layout (XHTML)
    - Different XML-based formats

- Parsing and in-memory representation/manipulation (DOM/SAX)
  - . . .
RDF/XML 2

```
<#john, #hasName, "John">  
<#john, #marriedTo, #mary>
```

RDF/XML 3

```
<ENTITY ex "http://example.org/#">
<rdf:RDF  
    xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"  
    xmlns:ex="http://example.org/">  
    <ex:hasName rdf:resource="#john"/>
    <ex:hasName>John</ex:hasName>
    <ex:marriedTo rdf:resource="#mary"/>
    </rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```
### Turtle

- Turtle stands for Terse RDF Triple Language
- An RDF serialization
- Triple representation of <Subject, Predicate, Object>

**Example:**
```turtle
@prefix person: <http://example/person/> .
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
person: A foaf:name "Jek" .
person: A foaf:mbox <mailto:jek@example.net> .
person: B foaf:name "Yuan" .
_:b foaf:name "Jeff" .
_:b foaf:mbox <mailto:jeff@example.org> .
```

### RDF N3 syntax

- Notation3, or N3
- A shorthand non-XML serialization of RDF models
- Designed for human-readability
  - much more compact and readable than XML RDF notation

**Example**
```n3
{:John :Loves :Mary} :accordingTo :Bill
```
TOOLS

Tool Support for RDF/RDFS

- Ontology editors
  - Protégé (http://protege.stanford.edu/)
- Browser
  - /facet (http://slashfacet.semanticweb.org/)
  - VOWL (http://vowl.visualdataweb.org)
- RDF repositories
  - GraphDB (http://graphdb.ontotext.com)
  - RDF4J (http://rdf4j.org)
- APIs
  - RDF2Go – Java (http://semanticweb.org/wiki/RDF2Go)
  - Jena – Java (http://jena.sourceforge.net/)
- Validator
  - W3C Validator (http://www.w3.org/RDF/Validator)
• Developed by Stanford Medical Informatics

• Has a large user community (more than 306 k)

• Support
  – Graph view, consistency check, web, merging

• Less support
  – Addition of new basic types
  – Limited multi-user support

_facet

• _facet_ is a generic browser for heterogeneous semantic web repositories

• Works on any RDFS dataset without any additional configuration

• Select and navigate facets of resources of any type

• Make selections based on properties of other, semantically related, types

• Allows the inclusion of facet-specific display options
RDF4J

- A framework for storage, querying and inferencing of RDF and RDF Schema
- A Java Library for handling RDF
- A Database Server for (remote) access to repositories of RDF data

Features:
- Light-weight yet powerful Java API
- SeRQL, SPARQL
- High scalability
- Various backends (Native Store, RDBMS, main memory)
- Reasoning support
- Transactional support
- Context support
- RDF/XML, Turtle, N3, N-Triples

Jena

- A Java framework for building Semantic Web applications
- Initiated by Hewlett Packard (HP) Labs Semantic Web Programme.

Includes:
- A RDF API
- Reading and writing RDF in RDF/XML, N3 and N-Triples
- An OWL API
- In-memory and persistent storage
- SPARQL query engine
RDF2Go

- RDF2Go is an abstraction over triple (and quad) stores. It allows developers to program against rdf2go interfaces and choose or change the implementation later easily.
- It can be extended: you can create an adapter from any RDF Object Model to RDF2Go object model.
- Directly supported implementations:
  - Jena 2.4
  - Jena 2.6
  - RDF4J

W3C Validator

- RDF Validator
- Parse RDF documents and detects errors w.r.t. the current RDF specification
- Available online service
- Downloadable code
- Based on ARP parser (the one also adopted in Jena)
An example of usage of RDF and RDF(S)

ILLUSTRATION BY A LARGER EXAMPLE

Friend of a Friend (FOAF)

- Friend of a Friend is a project that aims at providing simple ways to describe people and relations among them
- FOAF adopts RDF and RDFS
- Full specification available on: http://xmlns.com/foaf/spec/
- Tools based on FOAF:
  - FOAF-a-matic (http://www.ldodds.com/foaf/foaf-a-matic)
  - FOAF.vix (http://foaf-visualizer.gnu.org.ua)
  - More information at: http://www.foaf-project.org
FOAF RDF Example

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="utf-8"?>
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:foaf="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/">  
    <foaf:Person rdf:ID="DieterFensel">  
        <foaf:name>Dieter Fensel</foaf:name>  
        <foaf:title>Univ.-Prof. Dr.</foaf:title>  
        <foaf:givenname>Dieter</foaf:givenname>  
        <foaf:family_name>Fensel</foaf:family_name>  
        <foaf:mbox_sha1sum>773a221a09f1887a24853c9de06c3480e714278a</foaf:mbox_sha1sum>  
        <foaf:workplaceHomepage rdf:resource="http://www.sti-innsbruck.at"/>  
        <foaf:workInfoHomepage rdf:resource="http://www.sti-innsbruck.at/about/team/details/?uid=40"/>  
    </foaf:Person>  
</rdf:RDF>
```
FOAF Search

EXTENSIONS
Where can we go from here?

- RDF(S) has some limitations in terms of representation and semantics, thus OWL was built on top of it to overcome some of them.

- We have seen how to represent statements in RDF, how to query them? SPARQL is currently the standard language to query RDF data.

- RDF(S) by itself is not providing any instrument to define personalized entailment rules:
  - The entailment process is driven by RDF(S) Semantics
  - This is not enough in many practical contexts.

- RDF can be extended to add rule support:
  - RULE-ML based extensions
  - Horn Logic based extensions
  - OWL Horst (include a fragment of DL as well)
  - OWLIM (include a fragment of DL as well)

SUMMARY
Summary

• RDF
  – Advantages:
    • Reuse existing standards/tools
    • Provides some structure for free (e.g. for containers)
    • Standard format
  – Disadvantages:
    •Verbose
    • Reconstructing RDF graph non-trivial

• RDF Schema
  – Advantages
    • A primitive ontology language
    • Offers certain modeling primitives with fixed meaning
    • Key concepts of RDF Schema
      – subclass relations, property, subproperty relations, domain and range restrictions
    • There exist query languages for RDF and RDFS
    • Allows metamodeling
  – Disadvantages
    • A quite primitive as a modeling language for the Web
    • Many desirable modeling primitives are missing
      – An ontology layer on top of RDF/RDFS is needed
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